

Macroeconomic Forecasting in Large Bayesian VARs: The Case of Taiwan

Kuo-Hsuan Chin and Po-Chuan Liao

Department of Economics
Feng Chia University

July 9, 2025

Introduction

Introduction

Why Macroeconomic Forecasting is Important

- **Macroeconomic forecasting** is **important** for
 - **Making the appropriate policy**, particularly for the **monetary** policymakers .
 - **Guiding the financial investment decision**, particularly for the public.
 - Something else.....

Introduction

Main Approach for Macroeconomic Forecasting

- 1 **Model-based** approach: the forecasts are generated from **reduced-form**, **structural** and **semi-structural** models.
 - 1 **Reduced-form model**: **time-series regression**, state-space representation, and most of them are **statistical-type** models. **(Stock and Watson, 2007)**
 - 2 **Structural model**: dynamic stochastic general equilibrium (DSGE) model, and it particularly has **economic meaning**. **(Herbst, 2024)**
 - 3 **Semi-structural model**: the combination of reduced-form and structural models. **(Chin and Li, 2019)**
- 2 **Survey-based** approach: the forecasts are collected and aggregated on the basis of the **individual forecasts from a group of experts**.
 - Example: **Survey of Professional Forecasts (SPF)** is constructed by the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia.

Introduction

Types of Macroeconomic Forecasting

- ① **“Point” forecast**: it is the **“best”** guess of the **single value** for the variable of interest.
 - **Example**: the point forecast of the inflation rate in the next quarter is 2.3%.
 - **“Best”**: hopefully it is minimized the forecast error.
- ② **“Density” forecast**: it shows the **probability distribution** of the forecast.
 - **Example**: we are confident that inflation rate has 95% probability between 2.1% and 2.5%.
 - The density forecast for the variable of interest is usually generated via **repeated sampling**, such as the Bayesian approach or bootstrapping.

Introduction

In Our Paper - Macroeconomic Forecasting

- ① We adopt the **model-based approach**. In particular,
 - It is a **reduced-form, multivariate time series** model: **vector autoregression, VAR**. (Sims, 1980)
 - **VAR: small-scale, medium-scale and large scale**. (Bańbura, Giannone, and Reichlin, 2010)
- ② We consider both the **point and density forecasts**. (Wolters, 2015)
- ③ Research objective: **Taiwan**
 - We find very limit studies in the past take into account the **Bayesian approach in a large-scale VAR model**. [Contribution]

Introduction

In Our Paper - Why Bayesian (I)

- Using **Bayesian approach**, treating the **(parameter, model) uncertainty explicitly**, is helpful
 - To incorporate the **useful prior information (belief)** into the parameter estimation and statistical inference.
 - ① The prior information: it could be coming from **expert's judgment, past data or economy theory**.
 - ② The useful prior information is formally and directly incorporated into the **prior density** of something interest, say the parameter, the so-called **information priors**.

Introduction

In Our Paper - Why Bayesian (II)

- Using **Bayesian approach**, treating the (parameter, model) uncertainty **explicitly**, is helpful
 - To solve the “**over-parameterization**” problem
 - ① The problem is usually occurred in a **large-scale** VARs.
 - ② The **shrinkage prior**, the so-called **Minnesota prior [it imposes the hypothesis that individual variables all follow random walk processes]**, helps to solve the problem.

Introduction

In Our Paper - Empirical Finding

- We find the forecasting performance of the **small-scale (3-variable) VARs is dominant** in most rounds of forecast competition, which is also confirmed by the testing results.
 - ① Forecast competition: VARs with **3, 7, 12, 20, and 32 variables**.
 - ② The above finding is **applied to both point and density forecasts**.
 - ③ The above finding is further confirmed by the **appropriate tests of relatively forecasting ability**.
- Accordingly, **we do not find any benefit of using a rich set of data information in predicting Taiwan's macroeconomic variables**.

Vector Autoregressions (VARs)

Vector Autoregressions (VARs)

Model Specification (I)

- The p^{th} lag of a VAR model with the intercept, $\text{VAR}(p)$, can be written as

$$y_t = A_0 + A_1 y_{t-1} + A_2 y_{t-2} + \dots + A_p y_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T$$

- 1 $y_t = (y_{1,t}, y_{2,t}, \dots, y_{n,t})$ is a $n \times 1$ vector of **endogenous variable**.
 - 2 A_0 is a $n \times 1$ vector of intercept.
 - 3 A_1, A_2, \dots, A_p are $n \times n$ matrices of sloping parameter.
 - 4 ε_t is a $n \times 1$ vector of error terms following a multivariate normal density with zero mean and variance-covariance matrix Σ .
- It is a **dense parameterization**, especially in a **large-scale** model. **[The curse of dimensionality or the so-called “over-parameterization” problem]**

Vector Autoregressions (VARs)

Model Specification (II)

- The equation above could be further **transposed and stacked** as

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} y_1' \\ y_2' \\ \vdots \\ y_n' \end{bmatrix}}_Y = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & y_0' & \cdots & y_{1-p}' \\ 1 & y_1' & \cdots & y_{2-p}' \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & y_{T-1}' & \cdots & y_{T-p}' \end{bmatrix}}_X \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A_0' \\ A_1' \\ \vdots \\ A_p' \end{bmatrix}}_B + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1' \\ \varepsilon_2' \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_T' \end{bmatrix}}_\varepsilon,$$
$$Y = XB + \varepsilon,$$

- One could further **vectorize** the above equation as follows,

$$y = (I_n \otimes X)\beta + \xi,$$

where $y = \text{vec}(Y)$, $\beta = \text{vec}(B)$, $\xi = \text{vec}(\varepsilon)$, and $\xi \sim N(0, \Sigma \otimes I_T)$. We use the expression above in the application of the Bayesian approach.

Bayesian Approach

Bayesian Approach

Basic Principle

- Bayes rule:

$$\underbrace{p(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{y})}_{\text{posterior density}} \propto \underbrace{p(\mathbf{y} | \boldsymbol{\theta})}_{\text{likelihood function}} \underbrace{p(\boldsymbol{\theta})}_{\text{prior density}}$$

- $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ refers to the parameter of interest. That is, $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\beta, \Sigma\}$; \mathbf{y} is data.
- Regarding the parameters, so-called **hyper-parameters**, that characterize the **prior density**, it is denoted as γ .
- **Regarding the choice of the prior density (priors) for the parameter and its hyper-parameters, we follow Giannone, Lenza and Primiceri (2015).**

Bayesian Approach

In the Large-Scale VARs

- The **informative conjugate prior density** is proven to be **effectively to solve the “curse of dimensionality”** (Bańbura, Giannone, and Reichlin, 2010):
 - ① **“Informative” priors:**
 - Example: the **random-walk behavior** for some of macroeconomic time series data.
 - It moves some of the parameters toward zero, becoming a **parsimonious model**, **largely reducing the estimation error and improving the out-of-sample forecasting accuracy.**
 - ② **“Conjugate” priors:** **time-saving** for the sampling process of the posterior density.

Bayesian Approach

In the Large-Scale VARs

- We follow **Giannone, Lenza and Primiceri (2015)** to specify the priors and its hyper-parameters:
 - It is a **“Conjugate” priors**: it falls in the family of the **Normal-Inverse-Wishart (NIW)** density.

- 1 Technically, it is expressed as

$$\beta \mid \Sigma \sim N(b, \Sigma \otimes \Omega)$$

$$\Sigma \sim IW(\Psi, d)$$

where b , Ω , Ψ , and d are hyper-parameters, denoted by γ .

- 2 In this paper, the conditional prior density of β is treated as a **Minnesota-type prior**. Regarding the specific moments specified for the hyper-parameters of the priors, please refer to **Kuschnig and Vashold (2021)**.

Bayesian Approach

In the Large-Scale VARs

- We follow **Giannone, Lenza and Primiceri (2015)** to specify the priors and its hyper-parameters:
 - It sets **prior hyper-parameters** in a **data-based fashion**,

- 1 Technically, it is expressed as

$$p(\gamma, \theta | y) \propto p(y | \theta, \gamma) p(\theta | \gamma) p(\gamma)$$

- 2 The **marginal likelihood (ML)** could be obtained on the basis of the previous equation as

$$p(y | \gamma) = \int p(y | \theta, \gamma) p(\theta | \gamma) d\theta$$

where it could be used to choose the optimal hyper-parameters.

Empirical Implementation & Results

Empirical Implementation & Results

Empirical Data

- **Data source:** National Statistics, R.O.C (Taiwan); statistical database at central bank of the Republic of China.
- Total number of data series: **32 data series**.
- Sample range of the raw data: **1987Q1-2024Q4**. (Quarterly data)
- Pre-estimation data analysis: **transforming each series into percentage form**.
- Sample range for the estimation: **1997Q2-2024Q4**. (due to missing data)

Empirical Implementation & Results

VAR Model Setting

- Regarding the specification of the Bayesian VAR model,
 - The length of the **lag is four**.
 - The number of variables considered in the VARs includes **3, 7, 12, 20, and 32**.
 - The specification of the prior density for the parameter and its hyper-parameters are based on **Giannone, Lenza and Primiceri (2015)**.
 - Regarding the empirical implementation, we use **BVAR package operated in RStudio**. (**Kuschnig and Vashold, 2021**)

Empirical Implementation & Results

Out-of-Sample Forecasting Setting & Forecast Evaluation

- Forecasting scheme: **rolling-sample forecasting**.
 - ① In-sample observations: 80 observations; **the first rolling-sample is 1997Q2-2017Q1**.
 - ② We generate **one-step-ahead, four-step-ahead, and eight-step-ahead point & density forecasts**.
 - ③ For the **point forecast** exercise, we compare the relatively forecasting accuracy based on **root mean squared forecast error (RMSE)**, which is further confirmed with modified **Diebold and Mariano (DM) test**. (**Harvey, Leybourne and Newbold, 1997**)
 - ④ Regarding the **density forecast** exercise, we compare the relatively forecasting accuracy based on **log predictive likelihood**, which is further confirmed with **Amisano and Giacomini (AG) test**. (**Amisano and Giacomini, 2007**)

Empirical Implementation & Results

Empirical Results - Point Forecast (I)

Table 1. Root Mean Squared Forecast Error (RMSE)

VARs	GDP Growth Rate			Inflation Rate		
	$h = 1$	$h = 4$	$h = 8$	$h = 1$	$h = 4$	$h = 8$
3V	1.58252	2.21935	2.36091	0.47813	0.41898	0.42526
7V	1.8861	2.54423	2.69505	0.625	0.60237	0.54296
12V	2.05495	3.08991	2.48975	0.55549	0.5103	0.47491
20V	1.87175	2.72179	2.45624	0.54277	0.58306	0.57043
32V	1.89612	2.62628	2.40223	0.64828	0.60126	0.60815

VARs	Rediscount Rate			
	$h = 1$	$h = 4$	$h = 8$	
3V	0.02925	0.07	0.09181	3V: 3-variable VARs
7V	0.05434	0.11823	0.1278	7V: 7-variable VARs
12V	0.06936	0.12704	0.11596	12V: 12-variable VARs
20V	0.07718	0.11965	0.10903	20V: 20-variable VARs
32V	0.08031	0.12216	0.11245	32V: 32-variable VARs

Empirical Implementation & Results

Empirical Results - Point Forecast (II)

Table 2. Diebold and Mariano Test

VARs	GDP Growth Rate ($h = 1$)					GDP Growth Rate ($h = 4$)				
	3V	7V	12V	20V	32V	3V	7V	12V	20V	32V
3V	-	0.23	0.13	0.34	0.14	-	0.35	0.12	0.27	0.27
7V		-	0.31	0.74	0.87		-	0.09*	0.99	0.79
12V			-	0.30	0.58			-	0.06*	0.19
20V				-	0.41				-	0.61
32V					-					-
VARs	Inflation Rate ($h = 1$)					Inflation Rate ($h = 4$)				
	3V	7V	12V	20V	32V	3V	7V	12V	20V	32V
3V	-	0.21	0.20	0.06*	0.01**	-	0.19	0.10	0.11	0.09*
7V		-	0.67	0.53	0.69		-	0.27	0.76	0.90
12V			-	0.78	0.25			-	0.31	0.18
20V				-	0.03**				-	0.18
32V					-					-

Empirical Implementation & Results

Empirical Results - Point Forecast (III)

Table 2. Diebold and Mariano Test (Continued)

VARs	Rediscount Rate ($h = 1$)				
	3V	7V	12V	20V	32V
3V	-	0.03**	0.00***	0.00***	0.00***
7V		-	0.00***	0.00***	0.00***
12V			-	0.15	0.13
20V				-	0.47
32V					-
VARs	Rediscount Rate ($h = 4$)				
	3V	7V	12V	20V	32V
3V	-	0.28	0.02**	0.20	0.21
7V		-	0.39	0.92	0.84
12V			-	0.24	0.28
20V				-	0.69
32V					-

Empirical Implementation & Results

Empirical Results - Density Forecast (I)

Table 3. Log Predictive Likelihood

VARs	GDP Growth Rate			Inflation Rate		
	$h = 1$	$h = 4$	$h = 8$	$h = 1$	$h = 4$	$h = 8$
3V	-139.2249	-75.5243	-0.9109	-126.9068	-66.9956	-11.5767
7V	-137.7596	-82.3822	-10.7741	-127.1735	-73.0306	-23.1664
12V	-141.6779	-82.9880	-16.4381	-130.3263	-73.5064	-24.549
20V	-150.4485	-92.3936	-26.4885	-137.5988	-82.4224	-29.8589
32V	-146.3405	-92.1894	-28.0283	-134.9876	-82.8581	-31.3159

VARs	Rediscount Rate		
	$h = 1$	$h = 4$	$h = 8$
3V	-108.7573	-57.1779	-15.7577
7V	-108.0958	-62.3598	-25.7387
12V	-110.9181	-61.8344	-25.0936
20V	-117.5620	-69.4780	-28.9670
32V	-115.5476	-69.9695	-30.6383

Empirical Implementation & Results

Empirical Results - Density Forecast (II)

Table 4. Amisano and Giacomini Test for Relatively Forecasting Accuracy

VARs	GDP Growth Rate ($h = 1$)					GDP Growth Rate ($h = 4$)				
	3V	7V	12V	20V	32V	3V	7V	12V	20V	32V
3V	-	0.05*	0.00***	0.00***	0.00***	-	0.68	0.00***	0.00***	0.00***
7V		-	0.00***	0.00***	0.00***		-	0.00***	0.00***	0.00***
12V			-	0.00***	0.00***			-	0.00***	0.00***
20V				-	0.00***				-	0.00***
32V					-					-

VARs	Inflation Rate ($h = 1$)					Inflation Rate ($h = 4$)				
	3V	7V	12V	20V	32V	3V	7V	12V	20V	32V
3V	-	0.00***	0.00***	0.00***	0.00***	-	0.00***	0.00***	0.00***	0.00***
7V		-	0.56	0.00***	0.00***		-	0.6218	0.00***	0.00***
12V			-	0.00***	0.00***			-	0.00***	0.00***
20V				-	0.80				-	0.595
32V					-					-

Empirical Implementation & Results

Empirical Results - Density Forecast (III)

Table 4. Amisano and Giacomini Test for Relatively Forecasting Accuracy (Continued)

VARs	Rediscount Rate ($h = 1$)					Rediscount Rate ($h = 4$)				
	3V	7V	12V	20V	32V	3V	7V	12V	20V	32V
3V	-	0.00***	0.00***	0.00***	0.00***	-	0.00***	0.00***	0.00***	0.00***
7V		-	0.00***	0.00***	0.00***		-	0.35	0.00***	0.00***
12V			-	0.00***	0.00***			-	0.00***	0.00***
20V				-	0.33				-	0.22
32V					-					-

Empirical Implementation & Results

Summary of Empirical Results

- In terms of **point forecast competition**,
 - ① Table 1 shows that the **small-scale (3-variable) VAR model has the smallest RMSE**, compared to other VARs, in forecasting three variables of interest.
 - ② The results are further **confirmed by the Diebold and Mariano test**.
- Regarding the **density forecast competition**,
 - ① **The small-scale VAR model is dominant in most of the competing rounds**.
 - ② The results are further **confirmed by the Amisano and Giacomini test**.
- In sum, we **do not find any benefit of using a rich set of information** in predicting output growth, inflation and rediscount rate for Taiwan's macroeconomy.

Conclusion

Conclusion

- We estimate the **VAR models with 3, 7, 12, 20 and 32 variables** respectively.
- To solve the “over-parameterization” problem, we apply the **Bayesian approach**. In particular, the specification of prior density for the parameter and its hyper-parameters is implemented according to the approach of **Giannone, Lenza and Primiceri (2015)**.
- We conduct the **rolling-sample estimation and generate out-of-sample point and density forecasts**.
- **We do not find any benefit of using a rich set of data information in predicting Taiwan’s macroeconomic variables.**

References

References

- Amisano, Gianni, and Raffaella Giacomini. (2007) "Comparing Density Forecasts via Weighted Likelihood Ratio Tests." *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 25, 2, 177-190.
- Bańbura, Marta, Domenico Giannone, and Lucrezia Reichlin. (2010) "Large Bayesian Vector Autoregressions." *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 25, 1, 71-92.
- Chin, Kuo-Hsuan, and Xue Li. (2019) "Bayesian Forecast Combination in VAR-DSGE Models." *Journal of Macroeconomics*, 59, 278-298.
- Giannone, Domenico, Michele Lenza, and Giorgio E. Primiceri. (2015) "Prior Selection for Vector Autoregressions." *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 97, 2, 436-451.
- Harvey, David, Stephen Leybourne, and Paul Newbold. (1997) "Testing the Equality of Prediction Mean Squared Errors." *International Journal of Forecasting*, 13, 2, 281-291.

References

- Herbst, Edward. (2024) "Forecasting with DSGE Models." In *Handbook of Research Methods and Applications in Macroeconomic Forecasting*, 126-155. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Kuschnig, Nikolas, and Lukas Vashold. (2021) "BVAR: Bayesian Vector Autoregressions with Hierarchical Prior Selection in R." *Journal of Statistical Software*, 100, 1-27.
- Sims, Christopher A. (1980) "Macroeconomics and Reality." *Econometrica*, 1-48.
- Stock, James H., and Mark W. Watson. (2007) "Why has US Inflation Become Harder to Forecast?" *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 39, 3-33.
- Wolters, Maik H. (2015) "Evaluating Point and Density Forecasts of DSGE Models." *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 30, 1, 74-96.

Thank you